ſŢ

Export Citation

Time-dependent *ab initio* molecular-orbital decomposition for high-harmonic generation spectroscopy

Cite as: J. Chem. Phys. 161, 204111 (2024); doi: 10.1063/5.0235179 Submitted: 26 August 2024 • Accepted: 11 November 2024 • Published Online: 27 November 2024

Marco Marchetta,¹ D Chiara Morassut,^{1,2} D Julien Toulouse,^{2,3} Emanuele Coccia,^{1,a)} and Eleonora Luppi^{2,b)}

AFFILIATIONS

¹ Dipartimento di Scienze Chimiche e Farmaceutiche, Università di Trieste, Trieste 34127, Italy

²Laboratoire de Chimie Théorique, Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Paris F-75005, France

³Institut Universitaire de France, F-75005 Paris, France

^{a)}E-mail: ecoccia@units.it
^{b)}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed: eleonora.luppi@sorbonne-universite.fr

ABSTRACT

We propose a real-time time-dependent *ab initio* approach within a configuration-interaction-singles ansatz to decompose the high-harmonic generation (HHG) signal of molecules in terms of individual molecular-orbital (MO) contributions. Calculations have been performed by propagating the time-dependent Schrödinger equation with complex energies, in order to account for ionization of the system, and by using tailored Gaussian basis sets for high-energy and continuum states. We have studied the strong-field electron dynamics and the HHG spectra in aligned CO_2 and H_2O molecules. Contribution from MOs in the strong-field dynamics depends on the interplay between the MO ionization energy and the coupling between the MO and the laser-pulse symmetries. Such contributions characterize different portions of the HHG spectrum, indicating that the orbital decomposition encodes nontrivial information on the modulation of the strong-field dynamics. Our results correctly reproduce the MO contributions to HHG for CO_2 as described in the literature experimental and theoretical data and lead to an original analysis of the role of the highest occupied molecular orbitals HOMO, HOMO-1, and HOMO-2 of H_2O according to the polarization direction of the laser pulse.

Published under an exclusive license by AIP Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0235179

I. INTRODUCTION

High-harmonic generation (HHG) is a highly nonlinear phenomenon resulting from the interaction of an intense infrared laser pulse with atoms, molecules,¹ solids,^{2,3} and, recently, also liquids.^{4–6} The HHG process can be understood by the three-step model (3SM) where three important assumptions are made: (i) an electron escapes from the field of the nuclei through tunnel ionization associated with the strong laser field, (ii) it is accelerated in the electronic continuum until the sign of the laser field changes, and (iii) whereupon the electron is reaccelerated back to the nuclei where it recombines by the emission of a photon whose energy is a harmonic of the laser-field frequency.^{7–9}

HHG spectra are widely employed to investigate the electronic structure and dynamics in atomic and molecular systems.¹⁰⁻¹⁸

In fact, the physical mechanisms of ionization and recombination occurring during the strong-field dynamics involve the interaction between different molecular orbitals (MOs), and therefore, the HHG spectrum contains information about the structures and the electron dynamics of the molecules.¹⁹ The structural and dynamic information can be extracted from molecules by high-harmonic interferometry, from which the role of different MOs can be revealed, together with multielectron contributions to HHG.^{19,20} Tomographic imaging of MOs has been possible from HHG,^{10,21-25} stimulating the study of attosecond charge migration in molecules.²⁶

For a long time, it has often been assumed that the features of the HHG spectra reflected only the spatial distribution of the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO). Now, it is instead well established that the ionization and recombination processes in HHG can involve other deeper MOs than the HOMO. Indeed, other MOs than

161, 204111-1

the HOMO can have a spatial symmetry that is more favorable for the ionization and recombination, when coupled to a given laserpulse polarization. Various experiments and theoretical calculations pointed out multiple-MO contributions to HHG. ^{1,19,27-34}

An important example of this is provided by the linear molecule CO₂. The HHG spectrum of CO₂ has a minimum that has been deeply studied over the years.^{13,19,27,35-41} It is now well understood that this minimum is due to multiple-MO contributions to the HHG emission, i.e., it has a dynamical nature.^{36,40,41} HHG from gas-phase H₂O has also been explored, as a prototype of a nonlinear molecule.^{42–44} Despite the central role of water in practically any field of chemistry and biology, only recently, attention has been devoted to the HHG spectroscopy of aligned gas-phase water molecules.⁴⁴

A clear understanding of the mechanisms that control the strong-field electron dynamics in HHG is still challenging. Developing theoretical methods to analyze the dynamics of electrons during the ionization and recombination processes can give a strong impulse in understanding HHG in molecular systems.^{31,45,46} Recently, using real-time time-dependent configuration-interaction singles (RT-TD-CIS),^{45–53} some of us have studied the role of MOs in the HHG of aligned gas-phase uracil²⁹ and randomly aligned bromoform molecules.³⁰ For both molecules, it was found that MOs other than the HOMO are fundamentally important in explaining the features of the HHG signals.

The approach proposed in Refs. 29 and 30 provided an approximated decomposition of the time-dependent dipole moment as a sum of the time-dependent dipole moments calculated from each occupied orbital, weighted by the contributions from the ground and excited states. Then, by Fourier transforming the contribution of the *i*th MO, we were able to determine which MO(s) mainly contributed to the HHG signal.

In the present work, we improve our methodology by proposing an exact decomposition of the time-dependent dipole moment into MO contributions, where for each orbital, not only the ground-excited state contributions but also the depletion of the ground state and the excited-excited state contributions are included. This exact approach can, therefore, distinguish the role of each MO, identify the interferences between them, and extract the ground-/excited-state contributions during the strong-field dynamics in the HHG process.

We have applied our method to calculate the HHG spectra of aligned CO_2 and H_2O with different linearly polarized laser pulses, analyzing the contribution of each MO to the HHG spectrum.

This paper is organized as follows: our theoretical approach is derived in Sec. II, computational details are given in Sec. III, results for CO_2 and H_2O are shown and discussed in Sec. IV, and conclusions and perspectives for future work are discussed in Sec. V.

II. THEORY

A. Real-time propagation

The time-dependent Schrödinger equation (TDSE) for a spectroscopic target irradiated by an external time-dependent electric field is

$$i\frac{\partial|\Psi(t)\rangle}{\partial t} = \left(\hat{H}_0 + \hat{V}(t)\right)|\Psi(t)\rangle,\tag{1}$$

where \hat{H}_0 is the time-independent field-free Hamiltonian and $\hat{V}(t)$ is the time-dependent potential operator.^{54–58} The time-independent field-free Hamiltonian is

$$\hat{H}_0 = \hat{T} + \hat{W} + \hat{V}_{ne},$$
 (2)

where \hat{T} is the electron kinetic-energy operator, \hat{W} is the electron–electron Coulomb repulsion operator, and \hat{V}_{ne} is the static nucleus–electron Coulomb attraction operator.

The interaction between the molecule and the time-dependent external laser field in the semi-classical dipole approximation in the length gauge is

$$\hat{V}(t) = -\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \cdot \mathbf{E}(t), \qquad (3)$$

where $\hat{\mu}$ is the molecular dipole operator and $\mathbf{E}(t)$ is the electric field of the laser pulse. We consider a linearly polarized electric field $\mathbf{E}(t)$ along the α axis ($\alpha = x, y$, or z), representing a laser pulse,

$$\mathbf{E}(t) = \mathcal{E}_0 \mathbf{n}_\alpha \sin\left(\omega_0 t + \phi\right) f(t), \tag{4}$$

where \mathcal{E}_0 is the maximum field strength, \mathbf{n}_{α} is a unit vector along the α axis, ω_0 is the carrier frequency, ϕ is a phase, and f(t) is the envelope function chosen as

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2\sigma}(\sigma - t)\right) & \text{if } |t - \sigma| \le \sigma, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
(5)

where σ is the width of the field envelope.

To solve Eq. (1) in a finite basis set, the wave function $|\Psi(t)\rangle$ is expanded in a discrete basis of eigenstates $\{\Psi_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ of the field-free Hamiltonian \hat{H}_0 composed of the ground state (n = 0) and excited states (n > 0),

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = c_0(t)|\Psi_0\rangle + \sum_{n>0} c_n(t)|\Psi_n\rangle, \qquad (6)$$

where $c_n(t)$ are complex-valued time-dependent coefficients. Inserting Eq. (6) into Eq. (1), and projecting on the eigenstates $\langle \Psi_m |$ with $m \ge 0$, gives the evolution equations of the coefficients, which in matrix form are

$$i\frac{\partial \mathbf{c}(t)}{\partial t} = (\mathbf{H}_0 + \mathbf{V}(t))\mathbf{c}(t), \tag{7}$$

where $\mathbf{c}(t)$ is the column vector of the coefficients $c_n(t)$, \mathbf{H}_0 is the field-free Hamiltonian diagonal matrix with elements $\mathbf{H}_{0,mn} = \langle \Psi_m | \hat{H}_0 | \Psi_n \rangle = E_n \delta_{mn}$ (where E_n is the energy of the eigenstate $n \ge 0$), and $\mathbf{V}(t)$ is the laser-interaction non-diagonal matrix with elements $\mathbf{V}_{mn}(t) = \langle \Psi_m | \hat{V}(t) | \Psi_n \rangle$. The initial wave function at $t = t_i = 0$ is chosen to be the field-free ground state, i.e., $c_n(t_i) = \delta_{n0}$. To solve Eq. (7), time is discretized and the split-propagator approximation is used,⁵⁹ which reads as

$$\mathbf{c}(t+\Delta t) = e^{-i\mathbf{V}(t)\frac{\Delta t}{2}} e^{-i\mathbf{H}_0\Delta t} e^{-i\mathbf{V}(t)\frac{\Delta t}{2}} \mathbf{c}(t), \tag{8}$$

J. Chem. Phys. **161**, 204111 (2024); doi: 10.1063/5.0235179 Published under an exclusive license by AIP Publishing where Δt is the time step of the propagation. Since the matrix \mathbf{H}_0 is diagonal, $e^{-i\mathbf{H}_0\Delta t}$ is also a diagonal matrix with elements $e^{-iE_n\Delta t}\delta_{mn}$. The exponential of the non-diagonal matrix $\mathbf{V}(t)$ is calculated as

$$e^{-i\mathbf{V}(t)\Delta t} = \mathbf{U}^{\dagger} \ e^{-i\mathbf{V}_{\rm d}(t)\Delta t} \ \mathbf{U},\tag{9}$$

where **U** is the (time-independent) unitary matrix describing the change of basis between the original eigenstates of \hat{H}_0 and a basis in which $\hat{V}(t)$ is diagonal, i.e., $\mathbf{V}_{d}(t) = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{V}(t)\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}$.^{50,58}

Once the time-dependent wave function $|\Psi(t)\rangle$ is known, the time-dependent dipole moment $\mu(t) = \langle \Psi(t) | \hat{\mu} | \Psi(t) \rangle$ is computed, from which, by taking the Fourier transform, the HHG power spectrum is obtained as follows:

$$P(\omega) = \left| \frac{1}{t_{\rm f} - t_{\rm i}} \int_{t_{\rm i}}^{t_{\rm f}} W(t) \boldsymbol{\mu}(t) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\alpha'} e^{-i\omega t} dt \right|^2, \tag{10}$$

where t_i and t_f are the initial and final propagation times, W(t) is a window function, and $\mathbf{n}_{\alpha'}$ is the unit vector in the direction of emission of the HHG signal, which can be in general different from the polarization unit vector \mathbf{n}_{α} .

B. TD-CIS wave function ansatz

In this work, we use a TD-CIS ansatz for the time-dependent wave function, i.e., the ground-state is approximated by a single reference Slater determinant,

$$|\Psi_0\rangle \approx |\Phi_0\rangle,$$
 (11)

and the excited states by linear combinations of singly excited Slater determinants,

$$|\Psi_n\rangle \approx \sum_{i}^{\text{occ}} \sum_{a}^{\text{vir}} r_{i,n}^a |\Phi_i^a\rangle \quad \text{for } n > 0,$$
 (12)

where *i* and *a* run over (real-valued) occupied and virtual (spin) orbitals, respectively, $|\Phi_i^a\rangle$ is a singly excited Slater determinant with respect to $|\Phi_0\rangle$, and $r_{i,n}^a$ are real-valued coefficients.

The TD-CIS wave function ansatz is quite general, since different reference ground-state Slater determinant $|\Psi_0\rangle$ and singly excited states $|\Psi_n\rangle$ can be used. We use two possibilities: (1) the Hartree–Fock (HF) ground-state determinant and CIS excited states and (2) the Kohn–Sham (KS) ground-state determinant and linear-response TDDFT excited states in the Tamm–Dancoff approximation (TDA).

1. HF ground state and CIS excited states

The first possibility is to use the HF ground-state determinant of the field-free system for $|\Phi_0\rangle$ and the corresponding CIS excited states for $|\Psi_n\rangle$, resulting in a time-dependent method named RT-TD-CIS.^{37,53,60–70} The CIS excited states are found by solving the CIS eigenvalue matrix equation,⁷¹

$$\mathbf{A}^{\text{CIS}} \mathbf{X}_n = \boldsymbol{\omega}_n^{\text{CIS}} \mathbf{X}_n, \tag{13}$$

where the matrix elements of \mathbf{A}^{CIS} are given by

$$A_{ia,jb}^{\text{CIS}} = (\epsilon_a^{\text{HF}} - \epsilon_i^{\text{HF}})\delta_{ij}\delta_{ab} + \langle aj|\hat{w}_{\text{ee}}|ib\rangle - \langle aj|\hat{w}_{\text{ee}}|bi\rangle,$$
(14)

where *i*, *j* and *a*, *b* run over occupied and virtual HF orbitals, respectively, ϵ_i^{HF} and ϵ_a^{HF} are HF occupied and virtual orbital energies, respectively, and $\langle aj | \hat{w}_{ee} | ib \rangle$ and $\langle aj | \hat{w}_{ee} | bi \rangle$ are the Coulomb twoelectron integrals associated with the Hartree and Fock exchange terms, respectively. Solving Eq. (13) gives the column eigenvectors \mathbf{X}_n containing the CIS excited-state coefficients $X_{n,ia} = r_{i,n}^a$ and the CIS excitation energies ω_n^{CIS} leading to the excited-state energies $E_n = E_0^{\text{HF}} + \omega_n^{\text{CIS}}$, where E_0^{HF} is the ground-state HF energy.

2. KS ground state and linear-response TDDFT-TDA excited states

The second possibility is to use the KS ground-state determinant of the field-free system for $|\Phi_0\rangle$ and the corresponding linear-response TDDFT-TDA excited states for $|\Psi_n\rangle$. In this case, the excited states are found by solving the linear-response TDDFT-TDA eigenvalue matrix equation, in the adiabatic approximation,

$$\mathbf{A}^{\text{TDDFT}-\text{TDA}} \mathbf{X}_n = \boldsymbol{\omega}_n^{\text{TDDFT}-\text{TDA}} \mathbf{X}_n, \tag{15}$$

where the matrix elements of $\mathbf{A}^{\text{TDDFT-TDA}}$ are given by

$$A_{ia,jb}^{\text{TDDFT-TDA}} = (\epsilon_a^{\text{KS}} - \epsilon_i^{\text{KS}})\delta_{ij}\delta_{ab} + \langle aj|\hat{w}_{ee}|ib\rangle + \langle aj|\hat{f}_{xe}|ib\rangle, \quad (16)$$

where ϵ_i^{KS} and ϵ_a^{KS} are KS occupied and virtual orbital energies, respectively, and $\langle aj | \hat{w}_{ee} | ib \rangle$ and $\langle aj | \hat{f}_{xc} | ib \rangle$ are the twoelectron integrals associated with the Hartree and the DFT exchange–correlation kernel, respectively. Solving Eq. (15) gives the column eigenvectors \mathbf{X}_n containing the TDDFT-TDA excitedstate coefficients $X_{n,ia} = r_{i,n}^a$ and the TDDFT-TDA excitation energies $\omega_n^{\text{TDDFT-TDA}}$ leading to the excited-state energies $E_n = E_0^{\text{DFT}}$ + $\omega_n^{\text{TDDFT-TDA}}$, where E_0^{DFT} is the ground-state DFT energy.

In this work, we use the LC- ω PBE exchange-correlation approximation^{72,73} where the kernel is

$$f_{\rm xc}^{\rm LC-\omega PBE} = f_{\rm x}^{\rm lrHF,\omega} + f_{\rm x}^{\rm srPBE,\omega} + f_{\rm c}^{\rm PBE},\qquad(17)$$

where ω is the range-separation parameter, $f_x^{\text{lrHF},\omega}$ is the long-range HF exchange kernel, $f_x^{\text{srPBE},\omega}$ is the short-range PBE exchange kernel, and f_c^{PBE} is the PBE correlation kernel. The resulting real-time propagation method will be named RT-TD-CIS-LC- ω PBE.

C. MO decomposition of the dipole moment and the HHG spectrum

For interpretational purposes, it is interesting to decompose the time-dependent dipole moment $\mu(t) = \langle \Psi(t) | \hat{\mu} | \Psi(t) \rangle$ and the corresponding HHG spectrum in Eq. (10) into single MO contributions.

Starting from the time-dependent wave function in Eq. (6), we first decompose the time-dependent dipole moment in three contributions,

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}(t) = \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\rm G}(t) + \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\rm G-E}(t) + \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\rm E-E}(t), \tag{18}$$

where $\mu_{\rm G}(t)$ is the ground-state contribution,

 $\mu_{G-E}(t)$ is the ground-state/excited-state contribution,

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{G-E}}(t) = \sum_{n>0} \left(c_0^*(t) c_n(t) \langle \Psi_0 | \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} | \Psi_n \rangle + c_n^*(t) c_0(t) \langle \Psi_n | \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} | \Psi_0 \rangle \right), \quad (20)$$

and $\mu_{\rm E-E}(t)$ is the excited-state/excited-state contribution,

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{E-E}}(t) = \sum_{n>0} \sum_{m>0} c_m^*(t) c_n(t) \langle \Psi_m | \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} | \Psi_n \rangle.$$
(21)

For a TD-CIS wave function ansatz [Eqs. (11) and (12)], the matrix elements can be expressed in terms of the dipole-moment integrals over the MOs,

$$\langle \Psi_0 | \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} | \Psi_0 \rangle = \sum_{i}^{\text{occ}} \langle i | \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} | i \rangle, \qquad (22)$$

$$\langle \Psi_0 | \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} | \Psi_n \rangle = \sum_{i}^{\text{occ}} \sum_{a}^{\text{vir}} r_{i,n}^a \langle i | \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} | a \rangle, \qquad (23)$$

$$\langle \Psi_m | \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} | \Psi_n \rangle = \sum_{i}^{\text{occ}} \sum_{j}^{\text{occ}} \sum_{a}^{\text{vir}} \sum_{b}^{\text{vir}} r_{i,m}^a r_{j,n}^b \\ \times \left(\sum_{k}^{\text{occ}} \langle k | \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} | k \rangle \delta_{ij} \delta_{ab} + \langle a | \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} | b \rangle \delta_{ij} - \langle j | \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} | i \rangle \delta_{ab} \right) .$$
(24)

By factorizing the sum over the occupied orbitals *i*, we obtain a MO decomposition of the dipole moment,²⁵

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}(t) = \sum_{i}^{\text{occ}} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{i}(t), \qquad (25)$$

with

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{i}(t) = \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{G},i}(t) + \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{G}-\mathrm{E},i}(t) + \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{E},i}(t), \qquad (26)$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{G},i}(t) = |c_0(t)|^2 \langle i|\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}|i\rangle, \qquad (27)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{G-E},i}(t) = \sum_{n>0} \sum_{a}^{\mathrm{vir}} \left(c_0^*(t) c_n(t) + c_n^*(t) c_0(t) \right) r_{i,n}^a \langle i | \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} | a \rangle, \qquad (28)$$

and

$$\mu_{\rm E-E,i}(t) = \sum_{n>0} \sum_{m>0}^{\rm occ} \sum_{k}^{\rm vir} c_{m}^{*}(t)c_{n}(t)r_{i,m}^{a}r_{i,n}^{a}\langle k|\hat{\mu}|k\rangle + \sum_{n>0} \sum_{m>0}^{\rm vir} \sum_{a}^{\rm vir} \sum_{b}^{\rm vir} c_{m}^{*}(t)c_{n}(t)r_{i,m}^{a}r_{i,n}^{b}\langle a|\hat{\mu}|b\rangle - \sum_{n>0} \sum_{m>0}^{\rm occ} \sum_{j}^{\rm vir} \sum_{a}^{\rm vir} c_{m}^{*}(t)c_{n}(t)r_{i,m}^{a}r_{j,n}^{a}\langle j|\hat{\mu}|i\rangle.$$
(29)

In Refs. 29 and 30, it was proposed to only consider Eq. (28) to estimate the contribution to the HHG signal from each occupied MO. The contribution in Eq. (28) provides a qualitative trend and allows one to balance accuracy and computational effort. However, the importance of each contribution in the decomposition depends on the system studied and must be carefully considered.

Using Eq. (10), we arrive at a MO-decomposition of the HHG spectrum,

$$P(\omega) = \sum_{i}^{\operatorname{occ}} |p_i(\omega)|^2 + 2\sum_{i}^{\operatorname{occ}} \sum_{j>i}^{\operatorname{occ}} p_i^*(\omega) p_j(\omega), \qquad (30)$$

where

$$p_i(\omega) = \frac{1}{t_f - t_i} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} W(t) \boldsymbol{\mu}_i(t) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\alpha'} \ e^{-i\omega t} dt.$$
(31)

The contribution to the HHG spectrum coming only from the *i*th MO can be defined as

$$P_i(\omega) = |p_i(\omega)|^2, \qquad (32)$$

pubs.aip.org/aip/jcp

while the interference contribution between the *i*th and *j*th MOs can be measured by the relative phase $\Phi_{i,i}$,

$$\cos\left(\Phi_{i,j}\right) = \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{p_i^*(\omega)p_j(\omega)}{|p_i(\omega)||p_j(\omega)|}\right),\tag{33}$$

and magnitude $M_{i,j}$,

$$M_{i,j} = |p_i(\omega)||p_j(\omega)|. \tag{34}$$

Furthermore, it is also interesting to measure the relative importance of the ground, ground-excited, and excited-excited contributions to $P_i(\omega)$ by defining

$$P_{i,\Lambda}(\omega) = \left| \frac{1}{t_{\rm f} - t_{\rm i}} \int_{t_{\rm i}}^{t_{\rm f}} W(t) \boldsymbol{\mu}_{i,\Lambda}(t) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\alpha'} e^{-i\omega t} dt \right|^2, \qquad (35)$$

where $\Lambda \in \{G, G-E, E-E\}$.

III. COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

In the RT-TD-CIS and RT-TD-CIS-LC-wPBE methods, the excited-state energies and transition-dipole moments are obtained from calculations performed with CIS and linear-response TD-LC- ω PBE ($\omega = 0.4 a_0^{-1}$) with the TDA,³⁷ respectively, using the Q-Chem software package.⁷⁴ Then, they are employed in the Light code, ^{50,51,58,75,76} which propagates the time-dependent wave function under the influence of a time-dependent electric field (see Sec. II for details).

These electron dynamics are performed at fixed nuclear geometries. For CO₂, we use the experimental equilibrium distance of 2.209 a_0 , while for H₂O, we optimize the geometry at the DFT level using the PBE functional, obtaining an equilibrium distance of 1.831 a_0 and a bond angle of 104.2°.

The HHG spectra are calculated according to the computational strategy that we have developed in recent years, which demonstrated to be successful for atoms and molecules. $^{45,51,58,77-80}$ We use augmented Gaussian basis sets, which are able to describe Rydberg and low-energy continuum states. The accuracy of a Gaussian representation of HHG spectra has been extensively studied in the literature.^{51,58,75–77,81–85} For CO₂, we combine a fivefold augmented Dunning⁸⁶ 5aug-cc-pVDZ basis set with five optimized Gaussian continuum functions (K),⁸¹ obtaining the basis set named 5aug-ccpVDZ+5K. For H₂O, we combine a fivefold augmented Dunning 5aug-cc-pVTZ basis set with five optimized Gaussian continuum functions (K) obtaining a basis set named 5aug-cc-pVTZ+5K basis set. In both cases, 800 electronic excited states have been used for the expansion of the time-dependent wave function of Eq. (6). This choice allows us to include all the ionization channels studied in this work. Moreover, this expansion of the time-dependent wave function represents a reliable balance between accuracy and computational effort. Spectra up to harmonic H31 (H35) are reported for CO₂ (H₂O), according to the maximum excitation energy contained in the time-dependent wave function (the notation HM refers to the *M*th harmonics in the spectrum).

We compute HHG spectra for a cos²-shaped laser field [see Eq. (5)] with carrier frequency $\omega_0 = 0.057$ Ha (1.55 eV, 800 nm) and intensity $I = \mathcal{E}_0^2/2 = 8.5 \times 10^{13}$ W/cm². The duration of the pulse is 23 optical cycles (oc): $\sigma = 23$ oc [see Eq. (5)], where 1 oc = $2\pi/\omega_0$. The time step is 1.21 as (0.05 a.u.).

To prevent the nonphysical reflections of the wave function in the laser-driven electron dynamics, we use the heuristic lifetime model (HLM) originally proposed by Klinkusch *et al.*^{58,77-79,87} The HLM consists of adding to the energies E_n calculated from the field-free Hamiltonian imaginary term $-i\Gamma_n/2$, where $\Gamma_n = \sum_i^{occ} \sum_a^{vir} \theta(\epsilon_a) |r_{i,n}^a|^2 \sqrt{2\epsilon_a}/d$ (where θ is the Heaviside step function) represents the inverse lifetime for the excited state *n* above the ionization threshold. The parameter *d* is empirically chosen, representing the characteristic escape length that the electron is allowed to travel during the lifetime $1/\Gamma_n$. This parameter was evaluated on the basis of the 3SM, by taking *d* equal to the maximum electron excursion after ionization, i.e., 15.143 a_0 under the present conditions.

In this work, by default, HHG spectra are calculated along the laser-pulse polarization direction, unless explicitly stated otherwise.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. CO₂

The CO₂ ionization channels involved in the electron dynamics induced by the electric field considered here are $1^{2}\Pi_{g}$ (channel **X**), $1^{2}\Pi_{u}$ (channel **A**), $1^{2}\Sigma_{u}^{+}$ (channel **B**), and $1^{2}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ (channel **C**).^{19,36} In Tables I and II, the experimental ionization energies of the different channels, and the corresponding differences in energy between these channels, are reported. Channel **X** corresponds to the ground state of the CO₂ cation, and it shows the lowest ionization energy. Channels **A** and **B** are very close in energy (0.8 eV), but their symmetries are different: **A** is $1^{2}\Pi_{u}$ and **B** is $1^{2}\Sigma_{u}^{+}$. Channel **C** is about 5.6 eV higher than channel **X** and is therefore energetically less accessible.

In Table I, we also report the theoretical energies of the ionization channels at the level of HF theory using Koopmans' theorem. The HF occupied orbitals are approximations to the ionization Dyson orbitals,^{88,89} which are overlaps between states with N and N-1 electrons, corresponding to an electron that is removed from

| | Exp. (eV) ⁹⁰ | | | HF (eV) |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|--------|---------|
| $1^2 \Pi_g \mathbf{X}$ | 13.8 | $1\pi_g$ | НОМО | 14.8 |
| $1^2 \Pi_u \mathbf{A}$ | 17.3 | $1\pi_u$ | HOMO-1 | 19.3 |
| $1^2 \Sigma_u^+ \mathbf{B}$ | 18.1 | $2\sigma_u$ | HOMO-2 | 20.2 |
| $1^2 \Sigma_g^+ \mathbf{C}$ | 19.4 | $2\sigma_g$ | HOMO-3 | 21.8 |

TABLE II. Ionization energy differences (eV) between the channels of CO2.

| | ΔExp. (eV) | ΔHF (eV) |
|-----|------------|----------|
| X-A | 3.5 | 4.5 |
| X-B | 4.3 | 5.4 |
| X-C | 5.6 | 7.0 |
| A-B | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| A-C | 2.1 | 2.5 |
| B-C | 1.3 | 1.6 |

the molecule.³⁶ The HF occupied orbitals are shown in Fig. 1. The HF ionization channels in Table I correspond to the doubly degenerate HOMO ($1\pi_g$, channel X: X_a and X_b), the doubly degenerate HOMO-1 ($1\pi_u$, channel A: A_a and A_b), HOMO-2 ($2\sigma_u$, channel B), and HOMO-3 ($2\sigma_g$, channel C). The corresponding ionization energy differences are reported in Table II. Also in this case, the energy difference between the A and B channels is 0.8 eV. The energy between the X and C channels is 7.047 eV, which is 1.447 eV larger than the experimental value. Similar values have also been found in Ref. 36.

In calculating the HHG spectrum contributions for the different MOs, we took into account the orbital degeneracy by averaging the time-dependent dipole moment over degenerate orbitals and then Fourier transforming it. The CO₂ molecule is aligned along the z axis, as shown in Fig. 1. In Fig. S1 of the supplementary material, we show the time-dependent dipole moment for a laser field polarized along z of X_a and X_b (degenerate orbitals of X), of A_a and A_b (degenerate orbitals of A), of B, and of C. In Fig. S2 of the supplementary material, we show the same but for the timedependent dipole moment in the case of a laser field polarized along y, i.e., perpendicular to the molecular axis.

In Fig. 2, we report the HHG spectra of CO₂ computed with RT-TD-CIS when the laser field is polarized in the *z* or *y* direction. As CO₂ has inversion symmetry, only odd harmonics are generated.⁹¹ For the *z* polarization, we observe the expected minimum at the harmonic 23 (H23, light green arrow).^{27,36}

In Fig. 3, we show the MO contributions (top panel) and the interference contributions (bottom panel) to the HHG spectrum for laser field polarized along the z direction. As a comparison, we also report the total HHG spectrum.

The interpretation of the MO contributions relies on two aspects: symmetry and energy of each orbital.⁹² Spatial symmetry and ionization energy are the two essential factors to be accounted for describing the strong-field electron dynamics generating the HHG spectrum. In fact, the ionization and recombination processes

FIG. 1. HF occupied orbitals of CO2.



depend on the energy accessibility of a particular MO and also on the particular symmetry of the MO along the polarization direction of the laser field. Thanks to our approach, we are able to see which part of the HHG spectrum is controlled by a given channel, and by interferences between them. Indeed, the contribution of a given MO is energy-dependent, and it can largely change in the spectral window of interest.

The HOMO (\mathbf{X} channel) highly contributes because of the lowest ionization energy. However, HOMO-2 (\mathbf{B} channel), which is



FIG. 2. Total HHG spectra for CO_2 , with laser-pulse polarization along the *y* axis (light purple line) and along the *z* axis (light green line), at the RT-TD-CIS level of theory. The arrow represents the minimum for the *z*-polarized case.

less energetically favorable than X, contributes almost equally to the X channel, becoming the leading contribution from H11 to H25. This is due to the symmetry of HOMO-2, which favors ionization along the axis of the molecule. In fact, HOMO-2 is a σ_u orbital, and it has maximal electron density along the direction of the internuclear axis. HOMO-3 (C channel) produces a nonnegligible HHG spectrum, especially at large energies, where it gives the largest contribution for H27-H31. Also in this case, it is the symmetry of the orbital σ_g that plays an important role. In fact, from the energetic point of view, HOMO-3 is not favorable, as it is 7.047 eV lower than the HOMO. However, its symmetry makes it as important as HOMO-2, which is only 5.405 eV lower than HOMO. HOMO-1 (channel A) would in principle be energetically most favorable than HOMO-2 and HOMO-3 for ionization; however, as clearly observed in Fig. 3, its contribution is much smaller than those of the other channels. HOMO-1 has an unfavorable π_u symmetry along the z direction. The same general shape of the full spectrum, with the minimum at H23, and the same partial contributions from individual MOs were observed when the HHG spectra were computed in the velocity and acceleration forms, as described in the Appendix of Ref. 58 (Fig. S3 of the supplementary material).

In the bottom panel of Fig. 3, we show the interference contributions between the different channels of CO₂ [see Eq. (33)]. The interference contributions between channels are supposed to be responsible for the dynamical minimum in the HHG spectrum, as reported in Refs. 19 and 27. In particular, the minimum is attributed to destructive interferences, i.e., $\cos(\Phi_{ij}) < 0$ [Eq. (33)] between the (**X** channel) HOMO and (**B** channel) HOMO-2 (X–B label),¹⁹ as observed in Fig. 3. Indeed, the interference for the **X–B** channels shows the largest magnitude [Eq. (34)] among the

pubs.aip.org/aip/jcp



FIG. 3. Top: MO decomposition of the HHG spectrum of CO_2 , with laser-pulse polarization along the *z* axis, at the RT-TD-CIS level of theory. The inset shows a magnified view of the minimum region (H21–H25). Bottom: Interference contributions between different ionization channels with laser-pulse polarization along the *z* axis, at the RT-TD-CIS level of theory.

computed negative-phase interferences, as shown in Fig. S4 of the supplementary material.

However, the analysis of the interferences in Fig. 3 also points out the complexity of the electron dynamics. In fact, considering that the minimum corresponds to H23, we see that going from H21 to H25, there is a phase change from positive to negative for other channels as well. Observing a dynamical minimum thus seems to be related to multiple interference contributions.

Ruberti *et al.*³⁶ analyzed the multi-channel dynamics of aligned CO_2 with B-splines time-dependent first-order algebraicdiagrammatic-construction calculations [TD-ADC(1)]. The methodology we propose here gives the same trend as TD-ADC(1) for the single MO contributions to the HHG spectrum. Moreover, also in the TD-ADC(1) calculations, the minimum mainly corresponds to the destructive interference between the HOMO and HOMO-2 channels.

The MO analysis of the HHG spectrum can be refined using the ground-excited and excited-excited contributions in Eq. (35). In Fig. 4, we show for channel **X** that the contribution $P_{i,G-E}(\omega)$ is almost identical to the full spectrum $P_i(\omega)$ for this orbital. The



FIG. 4. Ground–excited (G–E, top) and excited–excited (E–E, bottom) contributions to the HHG spectrum for the X_a channel of CO₂, with laser-pulse polarization along the z axis, at the RT-TD-CIS level of theory.

corresponding contributions to the dipole moment are collected in Fig. S5 of the supplementary material.

The advantage to approximate the MO contribution to the HHG spectrum from only the contribution $\mu_{i,G-E}(t)$ to the dipole moment is that more complex systems with large basis sets (i.e., computationally expensive) can be calculated. We note that the present study confirms that it is indeed reasonable to approximate the MO decomposition of the HHG spectrum from only the contribution $\mu_{i,G-E}(t)$ (possibly, adding also the ground-state contribution $\mu_{i,G}(t)$ if it is not zero), as has recently been done by some of us in Refs. 29 and 30.

In Fig. 5, we report the MO contributions to the HHG spectrum (top panel) and the interference contributions (bottom panel) for a laser field polarized along the y direction. We also report as a comparison the total HHG spectrum. Also in this case, we observe the role of symmetry and ionization energy in the contribution of the MOs to the HHG spectrum. The **X** channel, while not having a particularly favorable symmetry for the y polarization, remains the most accessible in energy, and it is particularly important for the harmonics up to H15. Channels **A** and **B** are very close in energy but



FIG. 5. Top: MO decomposition of the HHG spectrum of CO_2 , with laser-pulse polarization along the *y* axis, at the RT-TD-CIS level of theory. The inset shows a magnified view of the region H21–H25. Bottom: Interference contributions between different ionization channels with laser-pulse polarization along the *y* direction, at the RT-TD-CIS level of theory.

with different symmetries. The symmetry π_u of channel **A** (HOMO-1) is favored over that of channel B (σ_u) for a laser polarized along the *y* axis. This is observed in Fig. 5, where channel **A** (HOMO-1) has a leading contribution to the whole spectrum, while the channel **B** (HOMO-2) contribution is much lower. Only for the highest harmonics, channels **A** and **B** have the same order of magnitude in the HHG spectrum. Channel **C** has higher ionization energy than channels **A** and **B** and is therefore more difficult to access. However, its σ_g symmetry makes it competitive with both channels. The channel **C** contribution is larger than **B** and of the same order of magnitude as **A**.

In the bottom panel of Fig. 5, we show the interference contributions between the different channels of CO_2 for the *y* polarization of the laser field [see Eq. (33)]. In this case, we focused on the H25 harmonic because there is a significant change in the intensity with respect to the H23 harmonic. We therefore suspect that there are destructive interferences in the spectrum. In fact, we observe that

With our methodology, we also investigate the role of MOs in RT-TD-CIS-LC- ω PBE. We have explicitly chosen this level of theory because an inversion in the order of the MOs is found. This means that in LC- ω PBE calculations, channel **A** (HOMO-1) has σ_u symmetry, while channel **B** (HOMO-2) has π_u symmetry. This is shown in Fig. S6 of the supplementary material. Moreover, the corresponding LC- ω PBE ionization energies are reported in Table S1 of the supplementary material and their differences are given in Table S2 of the supplementary material. Regardless of the different order of the orbitals, the calculated total HHG spectrum (Fig. S7 of the supplementary material) does not appear to be particularly different from that calculated in RT-TD-CIS, especially at lower harmonics, as observed in Figs. S8 and S9 of the supplementary material.

RT-TD-CIS-LC- ω PBE has recently been used by some of us to investigate the effect of HF exchange in the HHG spectra of H₂, N₂, and CO₂. By varying the range-separation parameter ω , we transitioned from pure PBE ($\omega = 0$) to HF plus PBE correlation ($\omega \rightarrow \infty$).³⁷ In this reference, differences in the computed spectra were observed in the HHG cutoff region: RT-TD-CIS and RT-TD-CIS-LC- ω PBE with $\omega = 0.4$ (also used in this work) provided better resolution of the harmonics compared to RT-TD-CIS-PBE.

However, from the MO analysis (partial dipole moments are collected in Figs. S10 and S11 of the supplementary material), we are able to infer information about the MO symmetry and to observe directly in the HHG spectrum the inverse ordering of the MOs. In the RT-TD-CIS-LC- ω PBE calculations, the roles of channel **A** (HOMO-1) and channel **B** (HOMO-2) are exchanged with respect to RT-TD-CIS. This is shown in Figs. S12 and S13 of the supplementary material for laser-pulse polarization along the *z* and *y* axes, respectively.

B. H₂O

For H_2O , the experimental ionization energies of the different channels and the energy differences between them are reported in Tables III and IV, respectively. We observe that all the MOs are energetically well separated (>1 eV). This was not the case for HOMO-1 and HOMO-2 in CO₂, where the energy difference was about 0.5 eV.

In Fig. 6, we report the HF occupied orbitals of H_2O and their corresponding HF ionization energies. We observe that HOMO, HOMO-1, and HOMO-2 are p-type orbitals with a single nodal plane.

TABLE III. Ionization energies (eV) for the channels of H₂O.

| Exp. ⁹³ | | | HF | | |
|--------------------|------|-----------------|--------|------|--|
| Ñ | 12.6 | 1b ₁ | НОМО | 13.9 | |
| Ã | 14.8 | 2a ₁ | НОМО-1 | 15.9 | |
| B | 18.7 | 1b ₂ | НОМО-2 | 19.3 | |

ARTICLE

| TABLE IV. IOHIZATION ENERGY UNERENCES (EV) DETWEEN THE CHAINERS OF 12C | TABLE I | V. Ionization | energy differences | (eV) betv | ween the | channels of H ₂ C |
|--|---------|---------------|--------------------|-----------|----------|------------------------------|
|--|---------|---------------|--------------------|-----------|----------|------------------------------|

| | $\Delta Exp.$ | ΔHF |
|---|---------------|-------------|
| - Ĩ - Ĩ - Ĩ | 2.2 | 2.0 |
| $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$ – $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ | 6.1 | 5.5 |
| Ã-Ĩ | 3.9 | 3.4 |
| | | |

The experimental literature on gas-phase H_2O reports HHG spectra under various laser-pulse intensities and wavelengths, showing an increased cutoff due to ionization suppression, when compared to the cutoff in the Xe atom, which has a similar ionization potential.⁴² Aligning water molecules would allow one to properly study MO contributions, but, to the best of our knowledge, such an experiment has not been carried out yet. Recently, a theoretical approach for MO tomography of water HOMO and HOMO-1, based on real-time TDDFT, has been proposed.⁴⁴ In that work, the multiple-MO nature of the H₂O HHG signal is observed.

We repeat for H_2O the same conceptual scheme applied to CO_2 . The molecule is oriented in space with respect to the linearly polarized probe laser pulse, and we study the partial HHG signal from HOMO and inner MOs when the pulse direction is changed. In Fig. 7, we show the total HHG spectra for the H_2O molecule when the laser is polarized along the *y* direction, i.e., perpendicular to the plane (*xz*) of the molecule, and in the direction defined by an O–H bond. The spectra appear different in terms of both peak intensities and allowed harmonics. For the *y* polarization, only odd harmonics are present, in contrast with the bond polarization, which shows even and odd harmonics with lower intensity.

When an aligned molecule is considered, the key condition to get even harmonics to vanish is the presence of a reflection plane



FIG. 7. Total HHG spectra for H_2O , with laser-pulse polarization perpendicular to the molecular plane (*y* polarization, light purple line) and parallel to an O–H bond (bond polarization, light green line), at the RT-TD-CIS level of theory.

perpendicular to the polarization direction. More generally, we can also interpret these results by considering the dynamical symmetry (DS) of the time-dependent Hamiltonian with a linearly polarized laser pulse along the *y* axis^{94,95} (see Fig. 6 for the reference system). Simply, the theory of DS includes the cases routinely known in HHG spectroscopy. The reflection plane is not present with laser-pulse polarization along the O–H bond, which therefore provides odd and even harmonics.

In Figs. 8 and 9, we report the MO contributions to the HHG spectra of the water molecule for the y polarization and along an





FIG. 8. HHG spectra of H_2O with laser-pulse polarization perpendicular to the molecular plane (*y* direction) at the RT-TD-CIS level of theory. Top: Spectra are calculated along the laser-pulse polarization direction. Bottom: Spectra are calculated along the z-axis, orthogonal to the laser-pulse polarization direction. Vertical dashed lines are a guide to the eye.

O-H bond, respectively (the dipole moments from each MO are collected in Figs. S14 and S15 of the supplementary material). The HOMO, HOMO-1, and HOMO-2 satisfy the same symmetry^{94,95} with the y polarization (top panel of Fig. 8), thus giving only odd harmonics, as the full spectrum in Fig. 7. More specifically, for y-polarized light, the laser pulse is polarized perpendicular to the permanent dipole of the molecule, which lies along the z-axis. Because of this perpendicular orientation, odd harmonics are predominantly polarized along the y-axis, whereas even harmonics tend to be polarized along the z-axis. This is consistent with the selection rules derived from DSs, as already mentioned.94 These findings are also in line with numerical simulations on similar molecules like CO and HCN, as described in Refs. 96 and 97. Thus, the symmetry of the molecular dipole dictates the distribution of odd and even harmonics, with odd harmonics aligning with the polarization of the laser pulse and even harmonics being orthogonal. This alignment behavior is shown clearly in Fig. 8, where the results match the expectations based on these selection rules. In Fig. 8, channel



ARTICLE

FIG. 9. MO decomposition of the HHG spectrum of H_2O , with laser-pulse polarization parallel to an O–H bond, at the RT-TD-CIS level of theory.

 $ilde{\mathbf{X}}$ (HOMO) gives the most significant contribution throughout the entire energy interval considered, because of its lowest ionization potential and favorable symmetry for ionization. Indeed, the other channels \tilde{A} (HOMO-1) and \tilde{B} (HOMO-2) contribute much less to the HHG signal: they are characterized by xy (HOMO-1) and yz nodal planes, which negatively interact with the laser pulse polarized along the y axis. It is worth observing that $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ contributes even less than A. This can be explained by the fact that the energy difference of $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ with respect to $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$, i.e., 5.5 eV, is larger than the one of \tilde{A} with respect to \tilde{X} , i.e., 2.0 eV, as reported in Table III. Indeed, the signal from $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ cannot be neglected at harmonics H13 and H21 and in the high-energy region. Thus, with a laser pulse polarized perpendicularly to the molecular plane, the HHG signal is strongly dominated by a single-MO dynamics, the HOMO one, even though the HOMO-1 contribution is comparable in some parts of the spectrum. Detecting along the z-axis, the MO decomposition reveals a dominant contribution of a specific component, denoted as \tilde{X} . This dominant component in the spectrum is responsible for producing only even harmonics, regardless of which electronic channel is considered during the harmonic generation process. This result suggests that along the z-axis, the symmetry of the system and the interaction with the laser field selectively favor the emission of even harmonics, likely due to the alignment of molecular orbitals and the dipole moment along this axis. It further highlights the significant role of orbital contributions and selection rules in determining the harmonic content based on detection directions. Conversely, the reflection symmetry is individually broken when the laser-pulse polarization is along an O-H bond direction, and, consequently, the partial HHG spectra for HOMO, HOMO-1, and HOMO-2 exhibit odd and even harmonics, as shown in Fig. 9.

Also for H₂O, the MO analysis of the HHG spectrum is enriched with the ground–excited and excited–excited contributions in Eq. (35). In Fig. 10, we show for channel $\hat{\mathbf{X}}$ that the contribution $P_{i,G-E}(\omega)$ is the dominant contribution to the full spectrum $P_i(\omega)$, as already observed in CO₂. The multiple-MO dynamics is much more evident in the decomposition of the HHG signal in the presence of a laser pulse linearly polarized along an O–H bond, as reported in

ARTICLE



FIG. 10. Ground–excited (G–E, top) and excited–excited (E–E, bottom) contributions to the HHG spectrum for channel **X** of H₂O, with laser-pulse polarization perpendicular to the molecular plane, at the RT-TD-CIS level of theory.

Fig. 9. In this case, the channel with the lowest ionization potential, $ilde{\mathbf{X}}$, does not have a favorable symmetry, as it presents a nodal plane corresponding to the plane of the molecule. Instead, channels Å and $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ are characterized by a positive coupling with the laser pulse polarized along an O-H bond (see Fig. 6). In fact, channel $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$ (HOMO), despite having an unfavorable symmetry for ionization along the bond direction, is easily energetically accessible (13.9 eV, Table III), making the corresponding partial signal close to the total one at low and middle-range harmonics. The HHG signal from HOMO-1 (channel \hat{A}) is the strongest almost everywhere, also at high energies. Meanwhile, channel $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ (HOMO-2), which is much more difficult to ionize (19.3 eV, Table III), produces a negligible HHG signal. At variance with what is observed for the laser-pulse polarization perpendicular to the molecular plane, a clear multiple-MO signal (HOMO and HOMO-1) is obtained for water along the direction of the O-H bond.

The same general conclusions for HOMO and HOMO-1 have been reported in Ref. 44. In that work, the analysis is based on the MO symmetry and its ionization rate along a given direction: the MO with the highest ionization rate dominates the HHG signal We have also computed the HHG spectra of H_2O along the *z* direction, with the laser-pulse polarization along the O–H bond. Full and partial spectra are shown in Fig. S16 of the supplementary material. Odd and even harmonics are observed in this case. Channel $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ provides a much smaller contribution than channels $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We propose a MO decomposition within the framework of RT-TD-CIS to extract the contributions of each MO to the HHG spectrum. The interpretation of the MO role in HHG is based on the analysis of the orbital's symmetry and energy. We studied the CO_2 and H_2O molecules using this approach.

The HHG spectrum of CO2 is well-established to exhibit a dynamic minimum, arising from destructive interferences between ionization channels, particularly between molecular orbitals such as HOMO and HOMO-2. This minimum, typically observed around the 23rd harmonics, is sensitive to the laser parameters such as intensity and polarization, reflecting complex multi-channel dynamics. Our RT-TD-CIS methodology successfully reproduces this minimum at H23 (see Fig. 3), showing that channel B (HOMO-2) is populated similarly to channel X (HOMO) due to its favorable symmetry. A similar trend is observed for channel C (HOMO-3), especially at higher harmonics. The dynamic minimum is accurately captured, with clear evidence that its appearance results from a destructive interference between channels X and B.19 When the polarization is perpendicular, channel A plays a significant role in modulating the HHG signal. Using the RT-TD-CIS-LC-wPBE method, the overall picture remains consistent, despite differences in orbital level ordering. By employing two distinct methods for the electronic structure and the strong-field dynamics, we demonstrate the robustness of our approach and confirm that the underlying physics of HHG is preserved, regardless of variations in level ordering.

For aligned H₂O, the HHG spectrum with a pulse polarization along the O–H bond is much weaker than that with a *y* polarization. In the first case, also even harmonics appear, due to symmetry breaking, and the HHG spectrum is also much weaker. Channel \tilde{X} (HOMO) provides the largest contribution with the laser-pulse polarization along the *y* axis. Instead, a clear multiple-orbital HHG signal is observed along the O–H bond, involving channels \tilde{X} and A (HOMO-1).

Our new methodology enables a real-time molecular orbital decomposition within wave function methods under strong-field conditions, offering significant advantages over traditional techniques, such as the ability to efficiently explore large biomolecules through Gaussian basis sets.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The supplementary material encompasses the following: MO contributions to time-dependent dipole moments for CO_2 and H_2O ; velocity and acceleration HHG spectra for CO_2 and laserpulse polarization along *z*; interference magnitudes for CO_2 with laser-pulse polarization along *z*; time-dependent dipole moments and HHG spectra together with MO contribution for the CO_2 molecule at the RT-TD-CIS-LC- ω PBE level of theory; comparison between the total HHG spectra of CO_2 at the RT-TD-CIS and RT-TD-CIS-LC- ω PBE level of theory; and MO decomposition of the time-dependent dipole moments for H₂O at the RT-TD-CIS level of theory.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge the financial support from ICSC—Centro Nazionale di Ricerca in High Performance Computing, Big Data and Quantum Computing, funded by European Union—NextGenerationEU. This work was supported by the project CHANGE funded by the PRIN 2022—Progetti di Rilevante Interesse Nazionale (Grant No. 20224KAC28).

AUTHOR DECLARATIONS

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no conflicts to disclose.

Author Contributions

M.M. and C.M. contributed equally to this paper.

Marco Marchetta: Data curation (equal); Formal analysis (equal); Writing – original draft (equal). **Chiara Morassut**: Data curation (equal); Formal analysis (equal); Writing – original draft (equal). **Julien Toulouse**: Conceptualization (equal); Data curation (equal); Formal analysis (equal); Methodology (equal); Supervision (equal); Writing – original draft (equal); Writing – review & editing (equal). **Emanuele Coccia**: Conceptualization (equal); Data curation (equal); Formal analysis (equal); Methodology (equal); Supervision (equal); Writing – original draft (equal); Writing – review & editing (equal). **Eleonora Luppi**: Conceptualization (equal); Data curation (equal); Formal analysis (equal); Methodology (equal); Supervision (equal); Writing – original draft (equal); Writing – review & editing (equal).

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

REFERENCES

¹J. Marangos, "Development of high harmonic generation spectroscopy of organic molecules and biomolecules," J. Phys. B: At., Mol. Opt. Phys. **49**, 132001 (2016).

⁶A. D. DiChiara, E. Sistrunk, T. A. Miller, P. Agostini, and L. F. DiMauro, "An investigation of harmonic generation in liquid media with a mid-infrared laser," Opt. Express 17, 20959 (2009).

⁷P. B. Corkum, "Plasma perspective on strong field multiphoton ionization," Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 1994 (1993).

⁸M. Lewenstein, P. Balcou, M. Y. Ivanov, A. L'Huillier, and P. B. Corkum, "Theory of high-harmonic generation by low-frequency laser fields," Phys. Rev. A 49, 2117 (1994).

⁹R. Santra and A. Gordon, "Three-step model for high-harmonic generation in many-electron systems," Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 073906 (2006).

¹⁰P. Peng, C. Marceau, and D. M. Villeneuve, "Attosecond imaging of molecules using high harmonic spectroscopy," Nat. Rev. Phys. 1, 144–155 (2019).

 11 W. Li, X. Zhou, R. Lock, S. Patchkovskii, A. Stolow, H. C. Kapteyn, and M. M. Murnane, "Time-resolved dynamics in $\rm N_2O_4$ probed using high harmonic generation," Science **322**, 1207–1211 (2008).

¹²B. D. Bruner *et al.*, "Multidimensional high harmonic spectroscopy of polyatomic molecules: Detecting sub-cycle laser-driven hole dynamics upon ionization in strong mid-IR laser fields," Faraday Discuss. **194**, 369 (2016).

¹³ H. Yun, K.-M. Lee, J. H. Sung, K. T. Kim, H. T. Kim, and C. H. Nam, "Resolving multiple molecular orbitals using two-dimensional high-harmonic spectroscopy," Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 153901 (2015).

¹⁴P. M. Kraus and H. J. Wörner, "Perspectives of attosecond spectroscopy for the understanding of fundamental electron correlations," Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 57, 5228 (2018).

¹⁵F. Calegari, G. Sansone, S. Stagira, C. Vozzi, and M. Nisoli, "Advances in attosecond science," J. Phys. B: At., Mol. Opt. Phys. **49**, 062001 (2016).

¹⁶M. Nisoli, P. Decleva, F. Calegari, A. Palacios, and F. Martín, "Attosecond electron dynamics in molecules," Chem. Rev. 117, 10760 (2017).

¹⁷D. Faccialá, S. Pabst, B. D. Bruner, A. Ciriolo, S. De Silvestri, M. Devetta, M. Negro, H. Soifer, S. Stagira, N. Dudovich, and C. Vozzi, "Probe of multielectron dynamics in xenon by caustics in high-order harmonic generation," Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 093902 (2016).

¹⁸C. Vozzi, F. Calegari, E. Benedetti, J.-P. Caumes, G. Sansone, S. Stagira, M. Nisoli *et al.*, "Controlling two-center interference in molecular high harmonic generation," Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 153902 (2005).

¹⁹O. Smirnova, Y. Mairesse, S. Patchkovskii, N. Dudovich, D. Villeneuve, P. Corkum, and M. Y. Ivanov, "High harmonic interferometry of multi-electron dynamics in molecules," Nature **460**, 972–977 (2009).

²⁰ A. J. Uzan, H. Soifer, O. Pedatzur, A. Clergerie, S. Larroque, B. D. Bruner, B. Pons, M. Ivanov, O. Smirnova, and N. Dudovich, "Spatial molecular interferometry via multidimensional high-harmonic spectroscopy," Nat. Photonics 14, 188 (2020).

²¹ J. Itatani, J. Levesque, D. Zeidler, H. Niikura, H. Pépin, J. C. Kieffer, P. B. Corkum, and D. M. Villeneuve, "Tomographic imaging of molecular orbitals," Nature 432, 867–871 (2004).

²²S. Haessler, J. Caillat, W. Boutu, C. Giovanetti-Teixeira, T. Ruchon, T. Auguste, Z. Diveki, P. Breger, A. Maquet, B. Carré, R. Taïeb, and P. Salières, "Attosecond imaging of molecular electronic wavepackets," Nat. Phys. 6, 200–206 (2010).

²³Z. Diveki, R. Guichard, J. Caillat, A. Camper, S. Haessler, T. Auguste, T. Ruchon, B. Carré, A. Maquet, R. Taïeb, and P. Salières, "Molecular orbital tomography from multi-channel harmonic emission in N₂," Chem. Phys. **414**, 121 (2013).

²⁴ M. Negro, M. Devetta, D. Faccialá, S. De Silvestri, C. Vozzi, and S. Stagira, "High-order harmonic spectroscopy for molecular imaging of polyatomic molecules," Faraday Discuss. **171**, 133 (2014).

²⁵C. Vozzi, M. Negro, F. Calegari, G. Sansone, M. Nisoli, S. De Silvestri, and S. Stagira, "Generalized molecular orbital tomography," Nat. Phys. 7, 822 (2011).

²⁶L. He, S. Sun, P. Lan, Y. He, B. Wang, P. Wang, X. Zhu, L. Li, W. Cao, P. Lu, and C. D. Lin, "Filming movies of attosecond charge migration in single molecules with high harmonic spectroscopy," Nat. Commun. 13, 4595 (2022).

²⁷H. J. Wörner, J. B. Bertrand, P. Hockett, P. B. Corkum, and D. M. Villeneuve, "Controlling the interference of multiple molecular orbitals in high-harmonic generation," Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 233904 (2010).

² J. Li, J. Lu, A. Chew, S. Han, J. Li, Y. Wu, H. Wang, S. Ghimire, and Z. Chang, "Attosecond science based on high harmonic generation from gases and solids," Nat. Commun. 11, 2748 (2020).

³J. Park, A. Subramani, S. Kim, and M. F. Ciappina, "Recent trends in high-order harmonic generation in solids," Adv. Phys.: X 7, 2003244 (2022).

⁴T. T. Luu, Z. Yin, A. Jain, T. Gaumnitz, Y. Pertot, J. Ma, and H. J. Wörner, "Extreme-ultraviolet high-harmonic generation in liquids," Nat. Commun. 9, 3723 (2018).

ARTICLE

²⁸D. R. Tuthill, F. Mauger, T. D. Scarborough, R. R. Jones, M. B. Gaarde, K. Lopata, K. J. Schafer, and L. F. DiMauro, "Multidimensional molecular high-harmonic spectroscopy: A road map for charge migration studies," J. Mol. Spectrosc. **372**, 111353 (2020).

²⁹E. Luppi and E. Coccia, "Role of inner molecular orbitals in high-harmonic generation spectra of aligned uracil," J. Phys. Chem. A **127**, 7335 (2023).
³⁰C. Morassut, A. Ravindran, A. Ciavardini, E. Luppi, G. De Ninno, and E. Coc-

³⁰C. Morassut, A. Ravindran, A. Ciavardini, E. Luppi, G. De Ninno, and E. Coccia, "High-harmonic generation spectroscopy of gas-phase bromoform," J. Phys. Chem. A **128**, 2015 (2024).

³¹X. Chu and G. C. Groenenboom, "Contributions of inner-valence molecular orbitals and multiphoton resonances to high-order-harmonic generation of N₂: A time-dependent density-functional-theory study," Phys. Rev. A 93, 013422 (2016).
 ³²B. K. McFarland, J. P. Farrell, P. H. Bucksbaum, and M. Gühr, "High harmonic generation from multiple orbitals in N₂," Science 322, 1232 (2008).

³³A.-T. Le, R. Lucchese, and C. Lin, "Uncovering multiple orbitals influence in high harmonic generation from aligned N₂," J. Phys. B: At., Mol. Opt. Phys. 42, 211001 (2009).

³⁴J. Li, P. Liu, H. Yang, L. Song, S. Zhao, H. Lu, R. Li, and Z. Xu, "High harmonic spectra contributed by HOMO-1 orbital of aligned CO₂ molecules," Opt. Express 21, 7599 (2013).

³⁵C. Jin, A.-T. Le, and C. D. Lin, "Analysis of effects of macroscopic propagation and multiple molecular orbitals on the minimum in high-order harmonic generation of aligned CO₂," Phys. Rev. A **83**, 053409 (2011).

³⁶ M. Ruberti, P. Decleva, and V. Averbukh, "Multi-channel dynamics in high harmonic generation of aligned CO₂: *Ab initio* analysis with time-dependent B-spline algebraic diagrammatic construction," Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **20**, 8311 (2018).
 ³⁷ C. F. Pauletti, E. Coccia, and E. Luppi, "Role of exchange and correlation in high-harmonic generation spectra of H₂, N₂, and CO₂: Real-time time-dependent electronic-structure approaches," J. Chem. Phys. **154**, 014101 (2021).

³⁸C. Jin, S.-J. Wang, S.-F. Zhao, A.-T. Le, and C. D. Lin, "Robust control of the minima of high-order harmonics by fine-tuning the alignment of CO₂ molecules for shaping attosecond pulses and probing molecular alignment," Phys. Rev. A **102**, 013108 (2020).

³⁹C. Jin, S.-J. Wang, X. Zhao, S.-F. Zhao, and C. D. Lin, "Shaping attosecond pulses by controlling the minima in high-order harmonic generation through alignment of CO₂ molecules," Phys. Rev. A **101**, 013429 (2020).

⁴⁰D. R. Tuthill, T. D. Scarborough, T. T. Gorman, K. A. Hamer, R. R. Jones, M. B. Gaarde, K. Lopata, F. Mauger, K. J. Schafer, and L. F. DiMauro, "Investigation of interferences in carbon dioxide through multidimensional molecular-frame high-harmonic spectroscopy," J. Phys. Chem. A **126**, 8588 (2022).

⁴¹Z. Shu, H. Liang, Y. Wang, S. Hu, S. Chen, H. Xu, R. Ma, D. Ding, and J. Chen, "Channel coupling dynamics of deep-lying orbitals in molecular high-harmonic generation," Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**, 183202 (2022).

generation," Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**, 183202 (2022). ⁴² M. Wong, J.-P. Brichta, and V. Bhardwaj, "High-harmonic generation in H₂O," Opt. Lett. **35**, 1947 (2010).

⁴³S.-F. Zhao, C. Jin, R. R. Lucchese, A.-T. Le, and C. D. Lin, "High-orderharmonic generation using gas-phase H₂O molecules," Phys. Rev. A 83, 033409 (2011).

⁴⁴Z. Ren, B. Zhang, Y. Yang, Y. Zhu, J. Zhao, and Z. Zhao, "Molecular orbital tomography of HOMO and HOMO-1 of the nonlinear molecule H₂O: The study on multi-orbital effects," Results Phys. **55**, 107181 (2023).

⁴⁵E. Coccia and E. Luppi, "Time-dependent ab initio approaches for highharmonic generation spectroscopy," J. Phys. Condens. Matter 34, 073001 (2021).

⁴⁶A. P. Woźniak, M. Przybytek, M. Lewenstein, and R. Moszyński, "Effects of electronic correlation on the high harmonic generation in helium: A time-dependent configuration interaction singles vs time-dependent full configuration interaction study," J. Chem. Phys. **156**, 174016 (2022).

⁴⁷N. Rohringer, A. Gordon, and R. Santra, "Configuration-interaction-based time-dependent orbital approach for *ab initio* treatment of electronic dynamics in a strong optical laser field," Phys. Rev. A **74**, 043420 (2006).

⁴⁸ P. Krause, T. Klamroth, and P. Saalfrank, "Molecular response properties from explicitly time-dependent configuration interaction methods," J. Chem. Phys. **127**, 034107 (2007). ⁴⁹L. Greenman, P. J. Ho, S. Pabst, E. Kamarchik, D. A. Mazziotti, and R. Santra, "Implementation of the time-dependent configuration-interaction singles method for atomic strong-field processes," Phys. Rev. A **82**, 023406 (2010).

 50 E. Luppi and M. Head-Gordon, "Computation high-harmonic generation spectra H₂ and N₂ in intense laser pulses using quantum chemistry methods and time-dependent density functional theory," Mol. Phys. **110**, 909 (2012).

⁵¹A. White, C. J. Heide, P. Saalfrank, M. Head-Gordon, and E. Luppi, "Computation of high-harmonic generation spectra of the hydrogen molecule using time-dependent configuration-interaction," Mol. Phys. **114**, 947 (2016).

⁵²T. Sato, T. Teramura, and K. L. Ishikawa, "Gauge-invariant formulation of timedependent configuration interaction singles method," Appl. Sci. 8, 433 (2018).

⁵³F. Bedurke, T. Klamroth, P. Krause, and P. Saalfrank, "Discriminating organic isomers by high harmonic generation: A time-dependent configuration interaction singles study," J. Chem. Phys. **150**, 234114 (2019).

⁵⁴A. Bandrauk, S. Chelkowski, D. J. Diestler, J. Manz, and K. J. Yuan, "Quantum simulation of high-order harmonic spectra of the hydrogen atom," Phys. Rev. A 79, 023403 (2009).

 $^{\rm 55}$ E. P. Fowe and A. D. Bandrauk, "Nonlinear time-dependent density-functional-theory study of ionization and harmonic generation in CO₂ by ultrashort intense laser pulses: Orientational effects," Phys. Rev. A **81**, 023411 (2010).

⁵⁶Y.-C. Han and L. B. Madsen, "Comparison between length and velocity gauges in quantum simulations of high-order harmonic generation," Phys. Rev. A 81, 063430 (2010).

⁵⁷E. Penka Fowe and A. D. Bandrauk, "Nonperturbative time-dependent densityfunctional theory of ionization and harmonic generation in OCS and CS₂ molecules with ultrashort intense laser pulses: Intensity and orientational effects," Phys. Rev. A 84, 035402 (2011).

⁵⁸ E. Coccia, B. Mussard, M. Labeye, J. Caillat, R. Taïeb, J. Toulouse, and E. Luppi, "Gaussian continuum basis functions for calculating high-harmonic generation spectra," Int. J. Quantum Chem. **116**, 1120 (2016).

⁵⁹A. Castro, M. A. L. Marques, and A. Rubio, "Propagators for the time-dependent Kohn-Sham equations," J. Chem. Phys. **121**, 3425 (2004).

⁶⁰X. Li, S. M. Smith, A. N. Markevitch, D. A. Romanov, R. J. Levis, and H. B. Schlegel, "A time-dependent Hartree–Fock approach for studying the electronic optical response of molecules in intense fields," Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 7, 233 (2005).

⁶¹ H. B. Schlegel, S. M. Smith, and X. Li, "Electronic optical response of molecules in intense fields: Comparison of TD-HF, TD-CIS, and TD-CIS(D) approaches," J. Chem. Phys. **126**, 244110 (2007).

⁶²J. A. Sonk, M. Caricato, and H. B. Schlegel, "TD-CI simulation of the electronic optical response of molecules in intense fields: Comparison of RPA, CIS, CIS(D), and EOM-CCSD," J. Phys. Chem. A 115, 4678 (2011).

⁶³P. Krause and H. B. Schlegel, "Angle-dependent ionization of small molecules by time-dependent configuration interaction and an absorbing potential," J. Phys. Chem. Lett. **6**, 2140 (2015).

⁶⁴ P. Krause and H. B. Schlegel, "Angle-dependent ionization of hydrides AH_n calculated by time-dependent configuration interaction with an absorbing potential," J. Phys. Chem. A **119**, 10212 (2015).

⁶⁵P. Hoerner, W. Li, and H. B. Schlegel, "Angular dependence of strong field ionization of 2-phenylethyl-*N*, *N*-dimethylamine (PENNA) using time-dependent configuration interaction with an absorbing potential," J. Phys. Chem. A **124**, 4777 (2020).

⁶⁶P. Hoerner and H. B. Schlegel, "Angular dependence of strong field ionization of CH3X (X = F, Cl, Br, or I) using time-dependent configuration interaction with an absorbing potential," J. Phys. Chem. A **121**, 5940 (2017).

⁶⁷P. Hoerner and H. B. Schlegel, "Angular dependence of ionization by circularly polarized light calculated with time-dependent configuration interaction with an absorbing potential," J. Phys. Chem. A **121**, 1336 (2017).

⁶⁸P. Hoerner and H. B. Schlegel, "Angular dependence of strong field ionization of haloacetylenes HCCX (X = F, Cl, Br, I), using time-dependent configuration interaction with an absorbing potential," J. Phys. Chem. C **122**, 13751 (2018).

⁶⁹P. Saalfrank, F. Bedurke, C. Heide, T. Klamroth, S. Klinkusch, P. Krause, M. Nest, and J. C. Tremblay, "Molecular attochemistry: Correlated electron dynamics driven by light," Adv. Quantum Chem. **81**, 15 (2020).

⁷⁰P. Hoerner, W. Li, and H. B. Schlegel, "Sequential double ionization of molecules by strong laser fields simulated with time-dependent configuration interaction," J. Chem. Phys. **155**, 114103 (2021).

⁷¹ A. Dreuw and M. Head-Gordon, "Single-reference ab initio methods for the calculation of excited states of large molecules," Chem. Rev. **105**, 4009 (2005).

⁷²O. A. Vydrov, J. Heyd, A. V. Krukau, and G. E. Scuseria, "Importance of short-range versus long-range Hartree-Fock exchange for the performance of hybrid density functionals," J. Chem. Phys. **125**, 074106 (2006).

⁷³O. A. Vydrov and G. E. Scuseria, "Assessment of a long-range corrected hybrid functional," J. Chem. Phys. **125**, 234109 (2006).

⁷⁴Y. Shao *et al.*, "Advances in methods and algorithms in a modern quantum chemistry program package," Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **8**, 3172 (2006).

⁷⁵ E. Luppi and M. Head-Gordon, "The role of Rydberg and continuum levels in computing high harmonic generation spectra of the hydrogen atom using time-dependent configuration interaction," J. Chem. Phys. **139**, 164121 (2013).

⁷⁶ E. Coccia and E. Luppi, "Optimal-continuum and multicentered Gaussian basis sets for high-harmonic generation spectroscopy," Theor. Chem. Acc. **135**, 43 (2016).

⁷⁷E. Coccia and E. Luppi, "Detecting the minimum in argon high-harmonic generation spectrum using Gaussian basis sets," Theor. Chem. Acc. 138, 96 (2019).
 ⁷⁸E. Coccia, "How electronic dephasing affects the high-harmonic generation in atoms," Mol. Phys. 118, e1769871 (2020).

⁷⁹ M. Labeye, F. Zapata, E. Coccia, V. Veniard, J. Toulouse, J. Caillat, R. Taïeb, and E. Luppi, "Optimal basis set for electron dynamics in strong laser fields: The case of molecular ion H⁺₂," J. Chem. Theory Comput. **14**, 5846 (2018).

⁸⁰E. Luppi and E. Coccia, "Probing the molecular frame of uracil and thymine with high-harmonic generation spectroscopy," Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 23, 3729 (2021).

⁸¹ K. Kaufmann, W. Baumeister, and M. Jungen, "Universal Gaussian basis sets for an optimum representation of Rydberg and continuum wavefunctions," J. Phys. B: At., Mol. Opt. Phys. 22, 2223 (1989).

⁸²C. Morassut, E. Coccia, and E. Luppi, "Quantitative performance analysis and comparison of optimal-continuum Gaussian basis sets for high-harmonic generation spectra," J. Chem. Phys. 159, 124108 (2023).
 ⁸³A. P. Woźniak, M. Lesiuk, M. Przybytek, D. K. Efimov, J. S. Prauzner-Bechcicki,

⁸⁵ A. P. Woźniak, M. Lesiuk, M. Przybytek, D. K. Efimov, J. S. Prauzner-Bechcicki, M. Mandrysz, M. Ciappina, E. Pisanty, J. Zakrzewski, M. Lewenstein, and R. Moszyński, "A systematic construction of Gaussian basis sets for the description of laser field ionization and high-harmonic generation," J. Chem. Phys. **154**, 094111 (2021). 84 A. P. Woźniak and R. Moszyński, "Modeling of high-harmonic generation in the C₆₀ fullerene using ab initio, DFT-based, and semiempirical methods," J. Phys. Chem. A **128**, 2683 (2024).

⁸⁵A. P. Woźniak and R. Moszyizski, "Ab initio calculations of the high-order harmonic enhancement in small noble gas clusters," Phys. Rev. A 109, 013523 (2024).

⁸⁶T. H. Dunning, "Gaussian basis sets for use in correlated molecular calculations. I. The atoms boron through neon and hydrogen," J. Chem. Phys. **90**, 1007 (1989).

⁸⁷S. Klinkusch, P. Saalfrank, and T. Klamroth, "Laser-induced electron dynamics including photoionization: A heuristic model within time-dependent configuration interaction theory," J. Chem. Phys. **131**, 114304 (2009).

⁸⁸ M. Díaz–Tinoco, H. H. Corzo, F. Pawłowski, and J. V. Ortiz, "Do Dyson Orbitals resemble canonical Hartree–Fock orbitals?," Mol. Phys. **117**, 2275–2283 (2019).

⁸⁹J. V. Ortiz, "Dyson-orbital concepts for description of electrons in molecules," J. Chem. Phys. **153**, 070902 (2020).

⁹⁰K. Kimura, S. Katsumata, Y. Achiba, T. Yamazaki, and S. Iwata, *Handbook of HeI Photoelectron Spectra* (Scientific Societies Press, Tokyo, 1981).

⁹¹X. Liu, X. Zhu, L. Li, Y. Li, Q. Zhang, P. Lan, and P. Lu, "Selection rules of high-order-harmonic generation: Symmetries of molecules and laser fields," Phys. Rev. A **94**, 033410 (2016).

⁹²X. X. Zhou, X. M. Tong, Z. X. Zhao, and C. D. Lin, "Role of molecular orbital symmetry on the alignment dependence of high-order harmonic generation with molecules," Phys. Rev. A **71**, 061801 (2005).

⁹³C. Ning, B. Hajgató, Y. Huang, S. Zhang, K. Liu, Z. Luo, S. Knippenberg, J. Deng, and M. Deleuze, "High resolution electron momentum spectroscopy of the valence orbitals of water," Chem. Phys. 343, 19 (2008).

⁹⁴O. Neufeld, D. Podolsky, and O. Cohen, "Floquet group theory and its application to selection rules in harmonic generation," Nat. Commun. **10**, 405 (2019).

⁹⁵M. E. Tzur, O. Neufeld, E. Bordo, A. Fleischer, and O. Cohen, "Selection rules in symmetry-broken systems by symmetries in synthetic dimensions," Nat. Commun. **13**, 1312 (2022).

⁹⁶H. Hu, N. Li, P. Liu, R. Li, and Z. Xu, "Pure even harmonic generation from oriented CO in linearly polarized laser fields," Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 173201 (2017).

⁹⁷X. Chu, "Orientation-dependent high-order harmonic generation in HCN: Insights from time-dependent density-functional-theory calculations," Phys. Rev. A **109**, 053103 (2024).