Rapid Communication Spin-Driven Activation of Dioxygen in Various Metalloenzymes and Their Inspired Models

AURELIEN DE LA LANDE,^{1,2} DENNIS R. SALAHUB,¹ JACQUES MADDALUNO,³ ANTHONY SCEMAMA,⁴ JULIEN PILME,^{5,6} OLIVIER PARISEL,⁶ HELENE GERARD,⁶ MICHEL CAFFAREL,⁴ JEAN-PHILIP PIQUEMAL⁶ ¹Department of Chemistry and Institute for Biocomplexity and Informatics,

University of Calgary, 2500 University Drive NW, Calgary, Alberta, Canada T2N 1N4

²Laboratoire de Chimie Physique, Université Paris-Sud 11. Bât. 349, Campus d'Orsay. 15, rue,

Georges Clémenceau. 91405 Orsay Cedex, France

³CNRS UMR 6014 & FR 3038, IRCOF, Université de Rouen, F. 76821 Mont Saint

Aignan Cedex, France

 ⁴Laboratoire de Chimie et Physique Quantiques, CNRS-UMR 5626, IRSAMC Université de Toulouse, 118 route de Narbonne, 31062 Toulouse Cedex, France
⁵Faculté de pharmacie, Université Lyon 1, F. 69373 Lyon Cedex 08, France
⁶Laboratoire de Chimie Théorique, UPMC, Paris 6, UMR 7616 CNRS, CC 137, 4 place Jussieu, F. 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France

Received 11 June 2010; Revised 25 August 2010; Accepted 13 September 2010 DOI 10.1002/jcc.21698 Published online in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com).

Abstract: Although potentially powerful, molecular oxygen is an inert oxidant due to the triplet nature of its ground state. Therefore, many enzymesse various metal cations (M) to produce singlet active species M_nO_2 . In this communication we investigate the topology of the Electron Localization Function (ELF) within five biomimetic complexes which are representative of the strategies followed by metalloenzymes to activate O_2 . Thanks to its coupling to the constrained DFT methods the ELF analysis reveals the tight connection between the spin state of the adduct and the spatial organization of the oxygen lone pairs. We suggest that enzymes could resort to spin state control to tune the regioselectivity of substrate oxidations.

© 2010 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. J Comput Chem 00: 000–000, 2010

Key words: enzyme; spin; electron localization; DFT; constrained DFT

The need for green, profitable, and highly selective catalytic processes is becoming increasingly acute. When targeting oxygenation and/or oxidation of saturated compounds, one appealing route is to use molecular oxygen, a readily available chemical, as the oxidant. In its ground state the free dioxygen molecule is however a spin-polarized triplet and as such is inert toward substrate oxidation. On the other hand, the singlet states are much more reactive even if they in general lie higher in energy. Catalysts are thus required to promote the spin-forbidden triplet to singlet transition to use dioxygen as an oxidizing agent. This strategy has proven to be very promising for palladium-catalyzed aerobic oxidation, for example.¹ More generally, this approach is legitimized by the ubiquity of metalloenzymes in aerobic life that activate dioxygen and exploit its oxidative power.² Numerous studies have been undertaken in the last decades to understand the underlying mechanisms leading to O2 activation. One of the most remarkable lessons has been the identification of a broad range of chemical strategies that enzymes may follow to

produce the oxidative species they need, depending on their particular chemical structure.² In the recent past, a seemingly simple strategy has emerged from biochemical studies. Various enzymes have been found to make direct use of metal-dioxygen adducts (M_nO₂) to oxidize their substrates. Within such adducts, and depending on the chemical nature of the active site, the dioxygen moiety generally undergoes a partial reduction toward a superoxide (O⁴⁻₂) or a peroxide (O²⁻₂) form. Among the enzymes that use this strategy, tyrosinase (Tyr),³ catechol oxidase (CO),³ tyramine β -monooxygenase (PHM), and dopamine β hydroxylating monooxygenase (PHM), and dopamine β hydroxylase (D β M)^{5,6} are six ubiquitous cuproenzymes involved,

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

Correspondence to: A. de la Lande; e-mail: aurelien.de-la-lande@upsud.fr or J. P. Piquemal; e-mail: jpp@lct.jussieu.fr

respectively, in the metabolism of phenols, tyramine, neuropeptides, and neurotransmitters. Some nonhaem iron enzymes have also been identified, like the extradiol dioxygenases that initiate the degradation of many aromatic compounds in bacteria.⁷ In addition to biochemical studies, several 'attempts have been made to synthesize metal-dioxygen adducts, MnO2, using, for example, copper,^{8,9} iron,¹⁰ nickel,^{11,12} or palladium¹³ complexes. However, despite notable successes in the preparation and characterization of such species, it has been most of the time difficult to use them for subsequent oxidative reactions. Although detailed molecular orbital (MO) studies have been devoted to these systems for a long time,¹⁴⁻¹⁶ the electronic properties of such complexes are not totally understood. Among the electronic aspects to be addressed for oxygen complexes, the spin state certainly plays a major role. Besides a high spin complex formed on direct coordination of triplet dioxygen to the metal center. the resulting adduct can also adopt various low-lying spin states. Actually, for a given system, it is hard to predict which spin state is the most reactive. These states are generally close in energy and slight variations within the coordination spheres of the metal ions (chemical composition or spatial arrangement of the ligands) can change the relative stabilities.

In this report, we provide an unprecedented description of the electronic properties of such adducts based on state-of-theart calculations. Five inorganic complexes were retained that are representative of the various strategies followed by enzymes to produce reactive M_nO_2 adducts (Fig. 1). The topological analysis of the electron localization function (ELF)^{17,18} coupled to density functional theory (DFT) provides a powerful way to elucidate the electronic structures of molecules of biological interest (see Methods Section in Supporting Information).¹⁹ It is applied here to trace the shape of the electron-pair density around the oxygen atoms within M_nO_2 complexes, which is shown to be connected to the spin multiplicity of the adducts. We suggest that enzymes could resort to spin state control to tune the regioselectivity of substrate oxidations.

We began this study with the binuclear copper complex, $[(ImH)_6Cu_2(O_2)]^{2+}$, which is an adequate model of the active



Figure 1. Chemical structures of the five complexes investigated in this study with their total charge and the spin states considered.

sites of Tyr²⁰ and CO. It contains a Cu₂/($\mu - \eta^2$: $\eta^2 - O_2$) core with an unusual organization consisting of a three-center Cu-O-Cu bond.²¹ The second adduct, $[(Mim^{N2S})Cu(O_2)]^+$, is a biomimicking model of the Cu_M active site found in the noncoupled dicopper enzymes PHM, $D\beta M$, and $T\beta M$.²² These systems have been studied in their singlet and triplet spin states through extensive use of MOs, see, for example, ref. 23 The ELF isosurfaces (Fig. 2) reveal a particular spatial polarization of the valence basins V(O) related to the oxygen atoms. Such basins, which cannot be distinguished when looking at MOs, are identified with the oxygen lone pairs, keeping in mind that such nonbonding densities are considered here in a larger picture that in the usual formal Lewis theory: an ELF lone pair can hold an electronic population larger than 2 (Table 1). Interestingly, a particular spatial orientation of these V(O) basins is found to be spin dependent. They are included within the Cu₍₂₎O₂ plane in the case of the triplet adducts but are perpendicular to it for the singlet adducts. In other words, a 90° rotation of the dioxygen lone pairs is observed, as confirmed by the values of the Cu-O-O-V(O)dihedral angles gathered in Table 1. It is worth mentioning that similar patterns are recovered for the Cu₂/($\mu - \eta^2 : \eta^2 - O_2^2$) singlet core using alternative approaches such as the topological analysis of the electrostatic potential²⁵ or the direct statistical sampling of the electron positions obtained by means of quantum Monte Carlo-based electron-pair localization function schemes (see Methods Section in Supporting Information), attesting thus to the robustness of the observed ELF patterns (These methods, and especially the latter one, require huge computational resources and have been only applied to the Cu_2O_2 complex).²⁶

As for the Cu–O–O–V(O) angles, the volumes of the valence oxygen basins also appear to be spin dependent and thus offer some complementary quantitative elements. Overall, the oxygen lone pairs contain mostly the same total number of electrons in both spin states. On the other hand, their spatial extensions, and hence their accessibility for an exogenous substrate, are different. In the singlet states, the two valence basins at one oxygen exhibit equal volumes, leading to similar electronic expansion on the two sides of the Cu₍₂₎O₂ averaged plane. In contrast, in the triplet state, the different basins exhibit very different volumes, the basins lying between copper and oxygen being much smaller than those lying on the outside.

Following the study of mono and dinuclear copper centers, similar spin dependencies are found for the $[(HTt^{Me})Ni(O_2)]$, $[(NHC)_2Pd(O_2)]$, and $[(ImMe)_2(C_2H_5COO)(4NC)Fe(O_2)]$ adducts, although different spin states are to be considered depending on the nature of the metal ion (see Supporting Information). This is, for example, the case for the nickel complex that is associated with either a doublet or a quartet state. Strikingly, the rotation of the dioxygen lone pairs appears to be a shared characteristic of M_nO_2 complexes.

A rationalization of these trends is achievable using an MO analysis of the complexes. On interaction with a metallic complex, the dioxygen π_x^* antibonding MO undergoes a mixing with a symmetry-adapted **d** orbital of the metal ion, whereas the π_y^* MO, interacting in δ symmetry with the metal, is generally considered as unperturbed. This is evidenced in Figure 3 in the case of [(Mim^{N2S})Cu(O₂)]⁺, an adduct relevant to the Cu_M center of PHM, D β M, and T β M.



Figure 2. ELF isosurfaces ($\eta = 0.8$) of the $[(Mim^{N2S})Cu(O_2)]^+$ (top) and $[(ImH)_6Cu_2(O_2)]^{2+}$ (bottom) adducts in their singlet and triplet states. The insets on the $Cu_{(2)}O_2$ core highlight the attractor positions of the oxygen basins. Basin color code: red for nonbonding (lone pair), green for bonding, and light blue for protonated bond. The difference in the basin orientations seems less eye catching in the Tyr case due to the appearance of two extra lone pair attractors (V₅ and V₆) at the Cu₂O₂ core caused by a more pronounced butterfly effect. The rotation is however evident in view of the position of the attractors (inset and Table 1).

As the symmetries of the dioxygen π and π^* orbitals match those observed for the dioxygen lone pairs (Fig. 2), the respective occupations of the MOs can be connected to the topology of the ELF basins. In the case of a closed-shell singlet configuration $(1)^2(2)^0$, the symmetry of the dioxygen valence basins is obtained by superimposing the three electron pairs at the oxygen, namely the two dioxygen π^* orbitals (resulting in a cylindrical symmetry) and the dioxygen lowest energy MO 1, i.e., π^*_{v} : the resulting basin will thus be mostly oriented along the y direction, namely, perpendicular to the CuO₂ plane. In the triplet configuration $(1)^{1}(2)^{1}$, no electron pairing can result from the singly occupied π^* system and the resulting orientation of the V(O) basins reflects the small participation of π_r^* to the mostly metal-centered d_{xz}/π_x^* bonding orbital (Fig. 3, bottom orbital), which justifies both the small polarization and the alternative symmetry with respect to the singlet system.

This suggests a possible relationship between the spin density of the M_nO_2 core and the topology of the ELF function. This hypothesis is tested more in depth using the introduced numerical constrained DFT (CDFT) approach^{27,28} consisting of the pro-

Table 1. Positions and Characteristics (Volume/Population) of the Valence Attractors of the Oxygen Atoms in the $[(Mim^{N2S})Cu(O_2)]^+$ and $[(ImH)_6Cu_2(O_2)]^{2+}$ Complexes (the Numbering is Defined on Fig. 2).

		$\begin{array}{c} [(Mim^{N2S}) \\ Cu(O_2)]^+ \end{array}$		$[(ImH)_6Cu_2(O_2)]^{2+}$	
		Singlet	Triplet	Singlet	Triplet
ΔE		-2.2	0.0	-3.8	0.0
Dih. angle	Cu ₍₁₎ O ₁ O ₂ V(O) _{1/3}	95.4	2.5	114.3	12.1
	$Cu_{(1)}O_1O_2V(O)_{2/4}$	-93.1	-176.2	-67.2	-134.8
Vol./Ne-	$V(O)_1$	54.5/2.90	26.1/2.06	51.3/2.97	32.6/2.09
	$V(O)_2$	53.2/2.93	76.7/3.56	42.0/3.10	15.2/1.39
	$V(O)_3$	57.0/2.92	35.9/2.32	48.8/3.00	16.2/1.43
	$V(O)_4$	58.2/2.92	74.4/3.28	42.1/3.12	30.1/2.06
	V(O) ₅	_	-	_	44.7/2.56
	V(O) ₆	_	_	_	43.6/2.60

The dihedral angles are given in degrees and the V(O) volumes in Å³. The integrated electron density over the basin volumes (N_{e-}) is unitless. The singlet–triplet gaps (in kcal/mol), extracted from refs. 22 and 24, were computed with a spin-flip TD-DFT (details can be found in Supporting Information).



Figure 3. Left: MOs resulting from the interaction between the dioxygen π_x^* and π_y^* molecular orbitals and the copper d_{xz} orbital for the case of the [(Mim^{N2S})Cu(O₂)]⁺ complex. Right: evolution of the average CuO₁O₂V(O)_{1/3} dihedral angles as a function of the spin density at the CuO₂ core on progressive injection of one electron (constrained DFT computations) at a frozen geometry.

gressive reduction by one electron of the previous singlet complex together with the examination of the evolution of the ELF basins (Fig. 3). To this end, a remote hydrogen atom, the electronic charge of which is constrained, acts as the reductive species (see Methods section in Supporting Information). Starting from a total charge of +1 on the complex and a low spin density on the CuO₂ core, the successive injections of fractions of an electron to the complex leads to a progressive reduction of the $CuO_1O_2V(O)_{1/3}$ angles and to the increase of the $V(O)_{2/4}$ basin volumes. Three main conclusions can be drawn from this numerical experiment. First, it confirms the previous MO analysis stating that the respective populations of the frontier orbitals (that generate the two limit symmetries) determine the symmetry of the ELF basins. Second, these results account for the changes of symmetry described above regarding the adduct spin state, as the singlet and the triplet states involve different populations of the MOs. Finally, it shows that symmetry does not change sharply but rather smoothly on variation of the π^* orbital populations. This latter point implies that intermediate situations with particular spatial polarization and extensions of the oxygen lone pairs are, in principle, possible. In biological or bio-inspired systems, the electron reservoir currently used in the CDFT computation could consist of protein residues, enzymatic substrates, or organic substituents. This hypothesis is examined, altogether with an analysis of the control of the bio-inspired oxidation reactions relying on the orientation patterns described above.

The information gathered on copper and nonheam iron enzymes provides the first pieces of an answer. The architecture of the Tyr and CO active sites implies that the approach of an exogenous substrate necessarily occurs along a path out of the average Cu_2O_2 plane.²⁹ Thus, a successful reaction is likely to occur with singlet adducts exhibiting an appropriate directionality of their electron-pair densities, i.e., out of the Cu_2O_2 plane.

In contrast, the triplet reaction pathway should be less efficient due to both the orientation of the lone pairs and their reduced spatial extension out of the Cu₂O₂ plane. Because the mononuclear copper centers of PHM, D β M, and T β M give more flexibility to their substrates when approaching the Cu_MO₂ adduct, the interpretation of the oxidative properties of these active sites is less straightforward and is probably controlled by the specific docking sites in the enzymes. The most recent studies^{6,30,31} indicate that the substrate oxidation is promoted by a formal |Cu_M $(II)(O_2)^{\bullet^-}$ adduct that formally grabs hydrogen atom or hydride from one of the substrate's C-H bonds (The exact nature of the transferred group, i.e., H or H⁻, is still under debate for noncoupled copper monooxygenases). The X-ray structures of the PHM enzyme^{32,33} show a constant docking of the substrate with respect to the Cu_M site suggesting a nonfortuitous orientation of all interacting intervening molecules. The last enzymatic example is the family of nonheam iron extradiol ring-cleaving dioxygenases. Recent X-ray structures have brought decisive information about their catalytic mechanisms.⁷ Using a slow aromatic substrate [4-nitrocatechol (4NC)], it has been possible to trap an intermediate in which the dioxygen moiety is partly reduced to a superoxide radical, coordinated to an Fe(II) cation (Fig. 1). The substrate is assumed to be a radical so that the overall structure can be described as a biradical.³⁴ In the subsequent step, a radical combination occurs between the two previous fragments, leading to an alkylperoxo intermediate. The crystal characterization of this latter has been reported for the first time in the same study. Our DFT computation on the $[(ImMe)_2(C_2H_5)]$ COO)(4NC)Fe(O₂)] model fully supports the authors' conclusions. Two spin states have been considered, singlet and triplet, which show different lone pair polarizations as mentioned above. It is worth stressing that for the biradical singlet (DFT broken symmetry), only three ELF attractors are found, corresponding to oxygen monosynaptic valence basins. No monosynaptic valence basin attractors are present in front of the substrate's carbon atom that is covalently linked to the oxygen atom in the subsequent intermediate. In contrast, all the electron density corresponding to the lone pairs is expelled out of this region into a common basin that holds 5.7 electrons (see Supporting Information). A successful radical recombination should indeed involve low ELF-value regions that correspond to high probabilities of finding unpaired electrons. In such conditions, the respective positions of the substrate and the oxygen lone pairs appear to be remarkably suited for the reaction to proceed. The cases of the bio-inspired nickel and palladium complexes are less documented, even though efficient catalysis has already been reported using palladium adducts.¹

As suggested above, a fine tuning of the substrate docking may permit regioselective catalysis thanks to the spin-dependent topology of the electron-pair density around the oxygen moieties. Future studies taking into account the full enzymatic environment through hybrid QM/MM computations and dynamical effects will have to confirm this hypothesis derived from *in vacuo* computations. From the view point of enzymology, such behaviors are however likely to be the key elements in the understanding of dioxygen activation rules, for instance, in the framework of the near attack conformers theory³⁵ or related approaches (see ref. 36 for a detailed review).

References

- 1. Stahl, S. S. Science 2005, 309, 1824.
- 2. Que, L., Jr.; Tolman, W. B. Nature 2008, 455, 333.
- 3. Gherman, B. F.; Cramer, C. J. Coord Chem Rev 2009, 253, 723.
- 4. Gray, E. E.; Small, S. N.; McGuirl, M. A. Prot Exp Purif 2006, 47, 162.
- 5. Klinman, J. P. J Biol Chem 2006, 281, 3013.
- de la Lande, A.; Parisel, O.; Gérard, H.; Moliner, V.; Reinaud, O. Chem Eur J 2008, 14, 6465.
- 7. Lipscomb, J. D.; Kovaleva, E. G. Science 2007, 316, 453.
- 8. Lewis, E. A.; Tolman, W. B. Chem Rev 2004, 104, 1047.
- 9. Cramer, C. J.; Tolman, W. B. Acc Chem Res 2007, 40, 601.
- 10. Costas, M.; Mehn, M. P.; Jensen, M. P.; Que, L., Jr. Chem Rev 2004, 104, 939.
- Fujita, K.; Schenker, R.; Gu, W.; Brunold, T. C.; Cramer, S. P.; Riordan, C. G. Inorg Chem 2004, 43, 3324.
- Cho, J.; Sarangi, R.; Annaraj, J.; Kim, S. Y.; Kubo, M.; Ogura, T.; Solomon, E. I.; Nam, W. Nat Chem 2009, 1, 568.
- 13. Popp, B. V.; Wendlandt, J. E.; Landis, C. R.; Stahl, S. S. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 2007, 46, 601.
- 14. Chen, P.; Solomon, E. I. J Inorg Biochem 2002, 88, 368.
- Solomon, E. I.; Chen, P.; Metz, M.; Lee, S.-L.; Palmer, A. E. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 2001, 40, 4570.
- Cramer, C. J.; Gour, J. R.; Kinal, A.; Włoch, M.; Piecuch, P.; Moughal Shahi, A. R.; Gagliard, L. J Chem Phys A 2008, 112, 3754.
- 17. Becke, A. D.; Edgecombe, K. E. J Chem Phys 1990, 92, 5397.
- 18. Silvi, B.; Savin, A. Nature 1994, 371, 683.

- Piquemal, J.-P.; Pilmé, J.; Parisel, O.; Gérard, H.; Fourré, I.; Bergès, J.; Gourlaouen, C.; de la Lande, A.; van Severen M.-C.; Silvi, B. Int J Quantum Chem 2008, 108, 1951.
- 20. Siegbahn, P. E. M.; Wirstam, M. J Am Chem Soc 2001, 123, 11819.
- 21. Piquemal, J.-P.; Pilmé, J. J Mol Struct: THEOCHEM 2006, 764, 77.
- de la Lande, A.; Salahub, D. R.; Gérard, H.; Moliner, V.; Piquemal, J.-P.; Parisel, O. Inorg Chem 2009, 48, 7003.
- 23. Chen, P.; Solomon, E. I. J Inorg Biochem 2002, 88, 368.
- de la Lande, A.; Maddaluno, J.; Parisel, O.; Piquemal, J.-P. Interdiscip Sci Comput Life Sci 2010, 2, 3.
- Leboeuf, M.; Köster, A. M.; Jug, K.; Salahub, D. R. J Chem Phys 1999, 111, 4893.
- 26. Scemama, A.; Chaquin, P.; Caffarel, M. J Chem Phys 2004, 121, 1725.
- 27. Wu, Q.; Van Voorhis, T. Phys Rev A 2005, 72, 024592.
- 28. de la Lande, A.; Salahub, D. R. J Mol Struct: THEOCHEM 2010, 943, 115.
- 29. Decker, H.; Schweikardt, T.; Tuczek, F. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 2006, 45, 4546.
- Bauman, A. T.; Yukl, E. T.; Alkevich, K.; McCormack, A. L.; Blackburn, N. J. J Biol Chem 2006, 281, 4190.
- 31. de la Lande, A.; Gérard, H.; Parisel, O. Int J Quantum Chem 2008, 108, 1898.
- 32. Prigge, S. T.; Mains, R. E.; Eipper, B. A.; Amzel, L. M. Cell Mol Life Sci 2000, 57, 1236.
- 33. Prigge, S. T.; Eipper, B. A.; Mains, R. E.; Amzel, L. M. Science 2004, 304, 864.
- 34. Siegbahn, P. E. M.; Haeffner, F. J Am Chem Soc 2004, 126, 8919.
- 35. Bruice, T. C. Chem Rev 2006, 106, 3119.
- Martí, S.; Roca, M.; Andrés, J.; Moliner, V.; Silla, E.; Tuñón, I.; Bertrán, J. Chem Soc Rev 2004, 33, 98.